

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE FIRST NAMED INVEN	ITOR A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/437,948	11/10/199	99 NANNING J. ARFS	TEN	275-3US	8672	
570	7590 04	/02/2002				
	AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P.				EXAMINER	
2005 MARK	MERCE SQUARE LET STREET, SU		MCNEIL, JENNIFER C			
PHILADEL	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			_	1775	42	
·			DA	TE MAILED: 04/02/2002	: /3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A3 ~				
•		Application N .	Applicant(s)				
		09/437,948	ARFSTEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Jennifer McNeil	1775				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	Department to a server in the server (a) filed as 4444	2/00					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/1						
2a)☐	,	is action is non-final.	manage Allan and An Allan (II)				
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.				
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,2,11,12,19,20,22 and 23</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20,21,23</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,11,12 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)∐ ٦	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
·	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (D				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hava haan waxii sad					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)∐ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure. The translation of the foreign language procedure.	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment	•						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal f	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/437,948

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gillich (US 6,067,189). Gillich teaches an aluminum reflector with a composite reflectivity-enhancing surface layer. The composite layer has an outer layer, a HI (high refractive index) layer with a refractive index, and an LI (low refractive index) layer with a refractive index smaller than that of the HI layer. The HI layer is a sol-gel layer and may be composed of niobium oxide (col. 3, line 55- col. 2, line 12). Gillich acknowledges that previously HI layers are normally deposited by PVD or CVD methods. The object of the invention of Gillich is to reduce cost by depositing the HI layer by solgel. The sol-gel also exhibits good resistance to scratching and good formability, and it is possible to

Art Unit: 1775

optimize these properties by the choice of composition of the sol-gel layer (col. 2, lines 52-67). A film of niobium oxide would inherently possess the claimed index of refraction.

Regarding claims 12, and 19, the LI layer is a sol-gel layer that may comprise a mixture of silicon oxide, aluminum oxide, and an oxide of a transition metal (Nb). While Gillich does not specifically teach what the refractive indices of these layers are, an example of a LI layer refractive index is 1.55-1.65 (col. 8, lines 20-21). It is expected that the LI layers would approximate this value and that the HI layer would be greater than this value (example given is 2.5, col. 4, line 1). Furthermore, the layers taught by Gillich meet the structural limitation of the instant claims and therefore would inherently possess the claimed characteristics.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 11, 12, and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20, 22, and 23 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer McNeil whose telephone number is 703-305-0553. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 703-308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

JCM

March 21, 2002

Jennifer McNeil

Examiner

Art Unit 1775

DEBORAH JONES